

# THE EFFECTS OF BUDGET MANAGEMENT ON PROJECT PERFORMANCE IN PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS: A CASE STUDY OF UGANDA NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

BY

Dickson Avutia. PGDFM (UMI), BCOM. (India)

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE HIGHER DEGREES
DEPARTMENT IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR
THE AWARD OF MASTER DEGREE IN MANAGEMENT STUDIES
(FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT) OF UGANDA
MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE

**MARCH 2010** 

## **DECLARATION**

due acknowledgement has been made and therefore should never be reproduced in any
form or means without the permission of the author.
Signed: Date:

## **APPROVALS**

This dissertation is submitted to Uganda Management Ins	stitute for examination with our
approval as supervisors.	
Signed:	Date:
Name: Mr. John Kittobbe	
Principal Consultant, Uganda Management Instit	tute
P.O Box 20131, Kampala Uganda	
Signed:	Date:
Name: Mr. Julius Ecuru	
Assistant Executive Secretary, Uganda National (	Council for Science and Technology
P.O Box 6884, Kampala Uganda	

## **DEDICATION**

This study is dedicated to my mother Mrs. Milly Avutia Nasuna, my brothers and sisters, and in memory of my father Mr. Charles Avutia whose vision guided my study and career development, my wife Florence Kyabishiki and my daughter Emily A. Nahabwe for their support throughout the period of research.

May God bless them and reward their efforts

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to all individuals whose involvement and commitment have contributed towards the successful completion of this study. I am particularly grateful to Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST) management and staff for allowing this study to proceed and availing the required information for the research.

I would like to express special gratitude to the Uganda Management Institute (UMI) based supervisor Mr. John Kittobbe for guiding and providing the academic leadership for the success of this study and the work based supervisor Mr. Julius Ecuru. Special thanks to Mr. James E Chiria and family, Mr. Richard B. Lutalo, Patrick Mafabi, Leah Nawegulo and Anthony O. Okimat for their inputs and interest in the research.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

						Pages	
Title p	page			 		 	i
Declar	ration			 		 •	ii
Appro	val			 		 •	iii
Dedica	ation			 		 •	iv
Ackno	wledgements			 		 	. v
Table	of Contents			 		 •	vi
List of	Tables			 		 •	X
List of	Figures			 		 	xi
List A	cronyms and Abbrevi	ations				 •••••	xii
Abstra	nct			 		 	xiii
СНА	PTER ONE: INT	RODUC	TION		•••••	 	1
1.0	Introduction			 		 	1
1.1	Background to the S	tudy		 		 	. 2
1.2	Statement of the Pro	blem		 		 	8
1.3	Objective of the stud	ly		 		 	9
1.4	Specific objectives of	of the stud	dy	 		 	9
1.5	Research Questions	•••••		 		 	9
1.6	Hypothesis of the stu	ıdy		 		 	10
1.7	Conceptual Framewo	ork		 		 	10
1.8	Significance of the S	study		 		 	11
1.9	Justification of the S	tudy		 		 	12
1.10	Scope of the Study	•••••		 		 	12
1.11	Operational Definition	ons		 		 	. 13

CHAI	PTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	14
2.0	Introduction	14
2.1	Theoretical Review	14
2.2	Budget management and project performance	16
2.3	Budget participation and project performance	21
2.4	Budget information and project performance	23
2.5	Budget controls and project performance	25
2.6	The influence of Development Partner and GoU Policies on the	
	Relationship between budget management on project performance	27
2.7	Summary on literature review	29
CHAI	PTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	31
3.0	Introduction	31
3.1	Research Design	31
3.2	Study Population	31
3.3	Sample Size and Selection	32
3.3.1	Sample Size	32
3.3.2	Sample Selection Methods	32
3.4	Sampling Techniques and Procedure	34
3.5	Data Collection Methods	35
3.5.1	Questionnaire	35
3.5.2	Focused Group Discussions	36
3.5.3	Documentary Review	37
3.6	Data Collection Instruments	37
3.6.1	Questionnaire	37
3.6.2	Focused Group Discussions Guide	38

	Documentary Review Guide	39
3.7	Pre-testing of data collection instruments	39
3.7.1	Validity of the Instruments	39
3.7.2	Reliability of Instruments	40
3.8	Procedure of data collection	42
3.8.1	Questionnaire	42
3.8.2	Focused Group Discussion	43
3.8.3	Documentary reviews	44
3.9	Data Analysis	44
3.9.1	Quantitative Analysis	44
3.9.2	Qualitative Analysis	46
3.10	Quantitative Data Measurement	46
СНАР	TER FOUR: PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND	
	INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS	47
4.0	INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS  Introduction	47 47
4.0 4.1		
	Introduction	47
4.1	Introduction	47 47 48
4.1 4.2	Introduction  Questionnaire Response Rate  Budget Participation and Demographic Structure of the Sample	47 47 48 51
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	Introduction  Questionnaire Response Rate  Budget Participation and Demographic Structure of the Sample  Factor Analysis Results	47 47 48 51
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1.1	Introduction  Questionnaire Response Rate  Budget Participation and Demographic Structure of the Sample  Factor Analysis Results  Tabular and Graphical Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation of Results	477 477 488 511 533
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1.1	Introduction  Questionnaire Response Rate  Budget Participation and Demographic Structure of the Sample  Factor Analysis Results  Tabular and Graphical Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation of Results  Staff Involvement in Budgeting and Project Performance	477 478 511 533 544 577
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2	Introduction  Questionnaire Response Rate  Budget Participation and Demographic Structure of the Sample  Factor Analysis Results  Tabular and Graphical Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation of Results  Staff Involvement in Budgeting and Project Performance  Budget Participation and Project Performance	477 488 511 533 544 577 59
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.2	Introduction  Questionnaire Response Rate  Budget Participation and Demographic Structure of the Sample  Factor Analysis Results  Tabular and Graphical Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation of Results  Staff Involvement in Budgeting and Project Performance  Budget Participation and Project Performance  Budget Information and Project Performance	477 488 511 533 544 577 59

	RECO	MMENDATIONS	69
5.0	Introduction		69
5.1	Summary		69
5.2	Discussion		72
5.2.1	Budget partici	pation and project performance	73
5.2.2	Budget inform	ation and project performance	74
5.2.3	Budget contro	ls and project performance	74
5.2.4	Policy influen	ce on the study variables	75
5.3	Conclusion		76
5.3.1	Budget partici	pation and project performance	76
5.3.2	Budget inform	nation and project performance	76
5.3.3	Budget contro	ls and project performance	77
5.3.4	Policies influe	nce on the study variables	77
5.4	Recommendat	ions	78
5.4.1	Budget partici	pation and project performance	78
5.4.2	Budget inform	nation and project performance	79
5.4.3	Budget contro	ls and project performance	79
5.4.4	Policies influe	nce on the study variables	81
5.5	Suggested area	as for further research	81
REFE	RENCES		82
APPE	NDICES		1
Appen	dix I: UMI stud	lent field research commencement letter	1
Appen	dix II: Question	nnaire	2
Appen	dix III: Focused	d Group Discussion Guide and Information Sheet	6
Appen	dix IV: Docum	entary Review Check list	10

## LIST OF TABLES

	Pages	
Table 1.1:	UNCST budgets, actual funds realized and expenditure 2001-2008	7
Table 3.1:	Population Frame	33
Table 4.1:	Age and years of service of respondents	48
Table 4.2:	Divisions of affiliation of the respondents	49
Table 4.3:	Academic qualifications and institutions of respondents	51
Table 4.4:	Staff participation in budgeting	54
Table 4.5:	Response on staff involvement in monitoring expenditure	57
Table 4.6:	Response on budget information	60
Table 4.7:	Budget Controls	63
Table 4.8:	Development partners & government of Uganda policy influence	66

# LIST OF FIGURES

Pa	iges
Figure 1: Effects of budget management on project performance	10
Figure 2: Grouping of responses by project performance indicators	53
Figure 3: Response on budget participation	55
Figure 4: Response on budget monitoring	58
Figure 5: Response on budget information	61
Figure 6: Response on budget controls	64
Figure 7: Response on policy influence	67

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

DFID Department for International Development

FAP Finance, Accounts and Procurement Division

IDRC International Development Research Centre

LDC Less Developed Country

MDAs Ministries Departments and public Agencies

MFPED Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development

MTEF Medium Term Expenditure Framework

ODA Organization of Development Associations

OECD Organization for Economic Corporation and Development

PD Phytollacca Dodecandra project. (Presidential Initiative project)

PISAT Presidential Initiative Support to Appropriate Technology

PEFA Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability

PFM Public Financial Management

R&D Research and Development institutions

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Sciences

UDN Uganda Debt Network

UGX Uganda Shillings

UNEP United Nations Environmental Programme

UIRI Uganda Industrial Research Institute

UNCST Uganda National Council for Science and Technology

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study investigated the effects of budget management on project performance in UNCST by examining the three budget management dimensions namely: budget participation, budget information and budget controls and the moderator variable was hypothesized as development partner policy influence on the relationship between the study variables. The study was guided by four specific objectives, research questions, and research hypotheses. A triangulated casestudy design was used to collect data from respondents using both qualitative and quantitative data collection techniques. The study sample size was 112 comprised of management staff, finance, accounts and procurement staff, technical, administration and support staff. The response rate for the staff was 81% and the survey instrument had an overall reliability coefficient of 0.974 which indicated high reliability.

Data analysis used descriptive statistics, factor analysis, correlation, and regression statistics. The study established that each of the budget management dimensions had an effect on project performance in UNCST during the period 2001 to 2008. The study then concluded that the inability to identify project costs, and utilize financial resources on time may have been influenced by weaknesses in: budget participation; budget information; budget controls and development partner policies may have adversely affected the performance of projects. The study recommends bottom-up approach in budgeting, sharing of detailed budget information with staff and improving budgetary controls through enforcing institution guidelines. The study further recommends adoption of good development partner and government of Uganda procedures such as procurement planning and timely accountability to improve on reporting time.